

Shepaug Building Committee  
Minutes of Meeting  
November 16, 2016

1. *Call to Order*

Mr. Gregory Cava, Chairman of the Shepaug Building Committee called the meeting to order on Wednesday, November 16, 2016 at 6:03 PM.

Present were the following:

Committee: Gregory Cava, Chairman, John Kuck, Rebecca Devine, Robert Horrigan  
Absent: Alex McNaughton

Administration: Patricia Cosentino, Superintendent  
Robert Giesen, Finance Director  
Donald O'Leary, Facilities Manager

Others Present: Charles Boos, Jennifer Mangiagli, Kaestle Boos,  
Ken Biegna, O & G Industries

2. *Approval of Minutes:*

October 17, 2016

**Motion:** made by Rebecca Devine , seconded by Robert Horrigan to accept the minutes dated October 17, 2016

**Vote: in favor:** Gregory Cava, Rebecca Devine, Robert Horrigan

**abstain:** John Kuck stating he was not present at the Oct.17 mtg.

**motion passed**

October 26, 2016

**Motion:** made by John Kuck , seconded by Gregory Cava to accept the minutes dated October 26, 2016

**Vote: in favor:** Gregory Cava, John Kuck

**abstain:** Rebecca Devine and Robert Horrigan both were not

present at the October 26 meeting.

**motion passed**

3. *Review of Project Costs*

A review of the draft handout showing design estimates comparing previous schematic design plans, 2015 to revised concept plan, 2016, had been explained and reviewed with the input of Ken Biega of O&G.. There was a lengthy discussion as to costs of the revision (copies of the site plan were made available) and possible costs that could still be made if necessary. Also discussed was the upcoming meeting with the State on Friday, November 18, as to what might be anticipated. Possible cost reductions being considered are with the soccer fields, the tennis courts, and the stonewall. Parking areas and possible changes within the Equine Center were mentioned. The fact that a reduction target amount had not been set or made known, is making it difficult for the Bldg. Committee to make reductions and where to make them. Chuck Boos and Jennifer Mangiagli also added comments in the discussion and explanations of changes within the site plan. It was agreed that the Friday meeting with the State, was one where those presenting the revised plan, needed to go with the mindset that they would be “Selling” the project. It was discussed what should be brought to the meeting such as site plans (before and after revisions), copies of informational cost documents and what should be said in the presentation to represent a \$1.4 million cut.

4. *Review of the Revised Project Schedule:*

Tabled to the next scheduled meeting.

5. *Adjournment:*

There being no further business to come before the committee, the meeting was adjourned by consensus at 7:30 p.m..